## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN results from the Fall 2011 Beginning of Grade New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of ASSESSMENT each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

This report highlights

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5 and 8. The NECAP tests are designed to measure student performance on grade level expectations (GLE) developed and adopted by the four states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills that students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test,

students are required to provide a single extended response of 1-3 pages and three shorter responses to questions measuring different types of writing.

GRAM

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade level results, schools and districts will also receive Summary Reports, Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen schools' and districts' evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests**

Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011

### **School Results**

**School:** South Hiram Elementary School

**District**: RSU 55/MSAD 55

Code: 1249-1716



### **Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests** Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011 **Grade Level Summary Report**

School: South Hiram Elementary School

District: **RSU 55/MSAD 55** 

State: Maine Code: 1249-1716

										1								
PARTICIPATION in NECAP					Number								P	ercenta	ge			
TARTICIPATION III NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled																		
on or after October 1																		
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested			:															
With an approved accommodation															r 1 1			
		· •										1						
Current LEP Students				,						;		1				;		
With an approved accommodation		· ·				:			:						r r	:		:
IEP Students																		
With an approved accommodation		r t													, r , ,			! !
Students not tested in NECAP												1 1 1				,		
State Approved												1			r 1			
Alternate Assessment												1			r			
First Year LEP												1			r			
Withdrew After October 1		r 1										1			r 1			
Enrolled After October 1		r 1										1			r 1			
Special Consideration		r !	!									f 1			r :			
Other		r !	!									f 1			r :			

#### NECAP RESULTS

						Schoo	l									Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
E	nrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested Level 4 Level 3 Level 2		vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Scale				
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
READING				40	12	30	20	50	5	13	3	8	350	72	18	60	15	7	347	13,034	18	54	18	10	346
MAIH				40	12	30	22	55	4	10	2	5	348	72	21	58	17	4	346	13,061	18	46	21	15	343
WKIIING																									

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011

## **Reading Results**

**School:** South Hiram Elementary School

**District**: RSU 55/MSAD 55

**State**: Maine **Code**: 1249-1716

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 357–380)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 340-356)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 331–339)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 300-330)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10				40	1	3	29	73	9	23	1	3	345
2010-11				42	3	7	15	36	15	36	9 :	21	340
2011-12				40	12	30	20	50	5	13	3	8	350
Cumulative Total				122	16	13	64	52	29	24	13	11	345
District													
2009-10				78	3	4	52	67	20	26	3	4	344
2010-11				77	4	5	31	40	27	35	15	19	340
2011-12				72	13	18	43	60	11	15	5	7	347
Cumulative Total				227	20	9	126	56	58	26	23	10	344
State													
2009-10				13,415	1,731	13	8,002	60	2,531	19	1,151	9	346
2010-11				13,068	1,500	11	7,635	58	2,515	19	1,418	11	345
2011-12				13,034	2,375	18	7,036	54	2,299	18	1,324	10	346
Cumulative Total				39,517	5,606	14	22,673	57	7,345	19	3,893	10	346

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	44								-	•	-		
Type of Text													<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Literary	46						<u>-</u>	•	_				<ul><li>▲ District</li><li>♦ State</li></ul>
Informational	40							<u>:</u> —	-	-			— Standard Error Bail
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	51							_	•	<u> </u>			
Analysis & Interpretation	35					_	•	:					



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

**School:** South Hiram Elementary School

**District**: RSU 55/MSAD 55

State: Maine Code: 1249-1716

						Scho	ool									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	. %	N	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students				40	12	30	20	50	5	13	3	8	350	72	18	60	15	7	347	13,034	18	54	18	10	346
<b>Gender</b> Male Female Not Reported				21 19 0	5 7	24	10 10	48	4	19 5	2	10 5	347 352	36 36 0	14 22	56 64	22	8	345 349	6,733 6,301 0	14 22	53 55	20	13 8	344 348
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino				0				1		:				0			: : :	1 1 1 1		235	14	51	22	12	344
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported				0 0 0 0 38 2	12	32	18	47	5	13	3	8	350	0 1 0 0 69 2	19	59	14	7	347	107 205 389 15 11,933 150 0	7 29 7 20 19	58 47 41 67 55 51	24 15 23 13 17 20	11 9 29 0 9	343 349 337 350 346 345
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students				1 0 0 39	12	31	19	49	5	13	3	8	350	2 0 0 70	19	60	14	7	347	391 14 1 12,628	9 36 18	37 43	27 21 17	27 0 10	338 353 346
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students				6 34	11	32	19	56	4	12	0	0	352	6 66	18	64	15	3	348	1,927 11,107	4 21	29 58	29 16	38	334 348
SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students				28 12	7 5	25 42	14 6	50	5 0	18	2	7	349 352	43 29	16 21	53 69	21 7	9	346 349	6,065 6,969	11 25	52 56	22 14	16 5	342 349
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students				0 40	12	30	20	50	5	13	3	8	350	0 72	18	60	15	7	347	8 13,026	18	54	18	10	346
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students				16 24	1 11	6 46	8 12	50	4	25	3 0	19 0	340 356	32 40	3 30	59 60	25 8	13 3	341 352	3,041 9,993	5 22	47 56	30 14	18 8	340 348
<b>504 Plan</b> Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students				0 40	12	30	20	50	5	13	3	8	350	0 72	18	60	15	7	347	186 12,848	14 18	61 54	16 18	9 10	346 346

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

**School:** South Hiram Elementary School

**District**: RSU 55/MSAD 55

**State:** Maine **Code:** 1249-1716

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 353–380)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 340–352)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 332–339)

**Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)** 

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 300–331)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10				40	3	8	14	35	13	33	10	25	339
2010-11				41	3	7	16	39	15	37	7	17	339
2011-12				40	12	30	22	55	4	10	2	5	348
Cumulative Total				121	18	15	52	43	32	26	19	16	342
District													
2009-10				78	6	8	31	40	23	29	18	23	340
2010-11				76	6	8	31	41	25	33	14	18	339
2011-12				72	15	21	42	58	12	17	3	4	346
Cumulative Total				226	27	12	104	46	60	27	35	15	342
State													
2009-10				13,426	1,890	14	6,450	48	2,974	22	2,112	16	342
2010-11				13,121	2,108	16	5,962	45	3,100	24	1,951	15	343
2011-12				13,061	2,294	18	6,048	46	2,789	21	1,930	15	343
Cumulative Total				39,608	6,292	16	18,460	47	8,863	22	5,993	15	343

	Total				Percei	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	74	:			:				<b>—</b>	<del>-</del>			<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	21							-	<u> </u>				<ul><li>▲ District</li><li>♦ State</li></ul>
Functions & Algebra	21							-	<u>.</u>	-			— Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21						-	*	- ;				



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 3 NECAP Tests Grade 2 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

**School:** South Hiram Elementary School

**District:** RSU 55/MSAD 55

State: Maine Code: 1249-1716

						Scho	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4		Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	: %	. %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students				40	12	30	22	55	4	10	2	5	348	72	21	58	17	4	346	13,061	18	46	21	15	343
Gender Male Female Not Reported				21 19 0	7 5	33 26	11 11	52 58	2 2	10 11	1 1	5	348 348	36 36 0	19 22	56 61	19 14	6	346 347	6,751 6,310 0	18 17	47 46	21 21	14 16	344 343
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino				0		:		1		! !		1 1 1		0		! ! !	1 1 1	1 1 1 1		236	10	41	28	21	341
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported				0 0 0 0 38 2	12	32	22	58	3	8	1	3	349	0 1 0 0 69 2	22	61	14	3	347	108 207 397 15 11,948 150 0	4 32 5 7 18 13	50 38 31 60 47 47	28 15 24 20 21 25	19 15 39 13 14 16	340 346 335 343 344 342
Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students				1 0 0 39	12	31	22	56	4	10	1	3	349	2 0 0 70	21	60	16	3	347	405 14 1 12,641	7 29 18	28 36 47	26 21 21	39 14 14	336 347 344
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students				6 34	11	32	20	59	2	6	1	3	350	6 66	21	61	15	3	347	1,944 11,117	5 20	26 50	30	39 11	335 345
SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students				28 12	9	32 25	14 8	50	3	11 8	2 0	7	348 350	43 29	23 17	56 62	14 21	7	346 347	6,090 6,971	9 25	42 50	26 17	22 8	340 347
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students				0 40	12	30	22	55	4	10	2	5	348	0 72	21	58	17	4	346	8 13,053	18	46	21	15	344
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students				16 24	2 10	13	9 13	56 54	3	19 4	2 0	13	343 352	32 40	6 33	53 63	31 5	9 0	342 350	3,047 10,014	5 21	37 49	32 18	26 11	338 345
<b>504 Plan</b> Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students				0 40	12	30	22	55	4	10	2	5	348	0 72	21	58	17	4	346	187 12,874	16 18	51 46	20 21	13 15	343 344

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient